

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE LAHORE HIGH COURT LAHORE.**  
**JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT**

W.P. No. 34500 of 2026

Chamman Abbas and 66 others    **Versus**    Secretary Government of Punjab,  
 Primary & Secondary Healthcare  
 Department and 4 others

Sr. No. of Order/ Proceeding	Date of order/ Proceeding	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties or counsel, where necessary
------------------------------	---------------------------	--

15.06.2026.    Mr. Allah Nawaz Khan Khosa, Advocate for the petitioners  
 Mr. Imran Khan, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

**CM No.3 of 2026**

This is an application in terms of order VI Rule 17 Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 seeking amendment of memo of parties. Considering the contents of this application, whereby, only the nomenclature of Respondent No.1 has been sought to be changed, this application is allowed and the amended memo, attached with this application, is taken on record.

**Main Case**

2. Through this Constitutional Petition, the petitioners have called into question the impugned order dated 31.03.2026. learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioners earlier agitated the infringement of their rights of regularization under the then applicable law i.e. *Punjab Regularization of Service Act 2018* (“Regularization Act, 2018”). Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter of petitioners’ regularization was referred to Respondent No.3 vide order dated 19.01.2026 passed in W.P. No. 3188 of 2026, however, the Respondent No.3/Chief Executive Officer, District Health

7

Sr. No. of Order/ Proceeding	Date of Order/ Proceeding	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties or counsel, where necessary
------------------------------	---------------------------	--

Authority, Faisalabad has passed an order, which is in complete oblivion of the law laid down by this Court in the case of Mohsin Abbas, etc. v. Secretary Communication and Works Punjab, etc. [2026 LHC 1419] as the petitioners' requests have simpliciter been declined for the reason that the Regularization Act, 2018 has already been repealed by virtue of Punjab Regularization of Service (Repeal) Act 2025 and during the currency of Regularization Act, 2018, no case of regularization was crystalized in favour of the petitioner.

3. Learned law officer has opposed this Constitutional Petition and prayed for its dismissal.

4. I have considered the Impugned Order dated 31.03.2026, which is found to be devoid of any lawful reason and has even failed to consider the law laid down by this Court in the case of Mohsin Abbas, etc. v. Secretary Communication and Works Punjab, etc. [2026 LHC 1419]. In this view of the matter, this Constitutional Petition is **allowed** in the terms that the Impugned Order dated 31.03.2026 is hereby set aside. Consequently, the application of the petitioners seeking regularization shall be deemed to be pending, which shall now be placed before Respondent No.1/Secretary, Health & Population Department, Government of the Punjab, who shall decide the same after hearing the petitioners and all other concerned.

5. This Court is sanguine that respondent No.1 shall duly seek guidance from the law laid down by a learned Single Judge in Chambers of this Court in the



Sr. No. of Order/ Proceeding	Date of Order/ Proceeding	Order with signature of Judge, and that of parties or counsel, where necessary
------------------------------	---------------------------	--

case reported as Mohsin Abbas, etc. v. Secretary Communication and Works Punjab, etc. [2026 LHC 1419] while deciding the application(s) of the petitioners as per the law applicable on the date when the petitioner's right for regularization, if any, had accrued.

*15/6/26*

  
(Khalid Ishaq)  
Judge